

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/690,452	10/21/2003	Donald E. Weder	8404.003	8404.003 3713	
30589 7	590 06/10/2004		EXAMINER		
DUNLAP, CODDING & ROGERS P.C.			GELLNER, J	GELLNER, JEFFREY L	
PO BOX 16370 OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3643		
		DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		V			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action 0	10/690,452	WEDER, DONALD E.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffrey L. Gellner	3643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 O</u>	ctober 2003.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. r election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	, ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

Examiner has been unable to locate copies of document JF (Adhesives Handbook pages) and JG (Farm Journal's House Plants for Gift Giving) in the NPL section of Applicant's 1449. Examiner requests that Applicant supply copies of these documents. A completed and signed 1449 will accompany the next office action.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informality:

The "CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS" section should be updated to show that 09/614,317 is now abandoned.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 3643

Claims 1-3, 5, 11, and 12 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,3, and 7 of U.S. Patent No.6,591,549 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims disclose a preformed flexible sleeve with first and second panels, an angle, a detachable portion, and an adhesive or cohesive disposed on an inner surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the sleeve/method of US 6,591,549 B2 by making the angle from 14 to 40 degrees so as to meet consumer demand and to have a pad of the sleeves so as to improve speed and ease of use.

Claims 1-3 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 and 10 of copending Application No. US 2003/0131529 A1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are drawn to a sleeve with first and second panels, tapered with an angle of from 16 to 24 degrees, a detaching element, and a bonding material on a surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the sleeve of US2003/0131529 A1 by placing the bonding material on the inner surface of the surface so as to more firmly attached the pot.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 3643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4 and 6-10 (dependent claims) add limitations which are not permitted when the transitional phrase in the independent claim is "consisting of" (claim 1 line 2) (see MPEP 2111.03 Transitional Phrases). Claims 4-10 are indefinite because there limitations are impermissible.

Allowable Subject Matter

Upon entry of a proper terminal disclaimer claims 1-3, 5, 11, and 12 are allowed over the art of record.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Weder ('029 A1) is the instant application's pre-grant publication.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Jeffrey L. Gellner whose phone number is 703.305.0053. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am to 4:00 pm. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 3643

Page 5

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Peter Poon, can be reached at 703.308.2574. The official fax telephone number for the Technology Center where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

Jeffrey L. Gellner